

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/649,195	08/28/2000	Stephen J. Whitney	0112690-004	7963
29176	7590 08/19/2004	EXAMINER		INER
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC			LAXTON, GARY L	
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
222707700, 2			2838	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/649,195	WHITNEY, STEPHEN J.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gary L. Laxton	2838			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and avoid abandonment of this application.	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	REPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).</li> </ul>	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	I of extension and the corresponding an of the shortened statutory period for repl ffice later than three months after the m	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension y originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	because:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d)  they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

Claim(s) objected to: <u>11,12,14,17-19,21,22,25 and 27-29</u>. Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10,13,15,16,20,23,24,26 and 30</u>. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

Advisory Action

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_\_

Part of Paper No. 081704

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

the presently rejected claims are very broad in nature, and as such, the examiner has given the broadest reasonable intrepretation of the claim language as stated and explained in the final office action dated 2/13/04; and, the examiner has appropriately applied the Oguchi et al reference given the broadest resonable interpretation to the broad claim language, also as stated in the final rejection. Therefore, the request for consideration does not place the application in condition for allowance.